

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/628,168	07/28/2000	Jong-Chul Choi	Q60267	2947
7590 08/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, usung.on, 20			2133	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/628,168	CHOI, JONG-CHUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fritz Alphonse	2133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a groeper of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e. cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>19-22</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived.			
	-				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	l Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)				
	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 13			

Application/Control Number: 09/628,168

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (U.S. Pat. No. 5,597,223) in view of Choi (U.S. Pat. No. 5,181,117).

As to claim 17, Watanabe (figs. 6, 12) show a LCD projection system, the projection system (fig. 6) comprising: an image driver (107) supplying an image signal; an LCD panel (108) for converting the input image signal into an optical image signal.

Watanabe does not explicitly disclose a contrast control portion that controls the contrast of the optical image signal according to an average level of the image signal.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Choi discloses an automatic contrast controller comprising a contrast control portion that controls the contrast of the optical image signal according to an average level of the image signal (col. 1, lines 54-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Watanabe's display apparatus with the automatic contrast controller device, as disclosed by Choi. Doing so would provide an automatic contrast controller in which a clean screen with a large contrast ratio can be obtained by lowering the lowest of the video signal to the pedestal signal, thereby controlling automatically the total contrast.

Application/Control Number: 09/628,168

Art Unit: 2133

3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe in view of Choi as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Helms (U.S. Pat. No. 5,952,992).

As to claim 18, Watanabe and Choi do not disclose an auto brightness limiter (ABL). However, the limitation is clearly disclosed by Helms (fig. 2; col. 3, lines 36-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Watanabe or Choi's device the auto brightness (ABL), as disclosed by Helms. Doing so would provide an automatic way to adjust the ambient lighting conditions of a display in which the display device is being used.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1 and 10 are allowed. Claims 2-16 are allowable by virtue of dependency.

Claims 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16, 19-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In view of the amendment, the reference of Choi has been added for new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/628,168

Art Unit: 2133

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (703) 308-8534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (703) 305-9595.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit 2133

August 20, 2004

SCPETALE OF PATERIT EXAMINER
TERMOLOGY CENTER 2100